

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

240

CWP-24297-2022
Decided on :27.02.2024

Lachhman Singh and others

. . . Petitioners

Versus

The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority Tehsil Compex Canteen
Master Tara Singh Nagar, Jalandhar

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Mitul Singh Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Amrita Garg, Asst. AG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Present Civil Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent to adjust the mini buses belonging to the petitioners bearing Nos. PB-07-Z-7524, PB-07-N-8324 and PB-07-Z-4124 into the new time table of buses having route from Dasuya to Hajipur via Ghogra, Nangal Bihala.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioners in the present writ petition, the petitioners had given three separate representations dated 26.04.2022 (Annexure P-1), 23.06.2022 (Annexure P-2) and 21.07.2022 (Annexure P-3) and they would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the respondent-

Authority is directed to consider the said representations dated 26.04.2022 (Annexure P-1), 23.06.2022 (Annexure P-2) and 21.07.2022 (Annexure P-3) separately in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioners are found to be meritorious then to grant necessary relief in accordance with law.

3. Learned State Counsel has submitted that respondent-Authority would consider the said representations dated 26.04.2022 (Annexure P-1), 23.06.2022 (Annexure P-2) and 21.07.2022 (Annexure P-3) separately in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to respondent-Authority to consider the representations dated 26.04.2022 (Annexure P-1), 23.06.2022 (Annexure P-2) and 21.07.2022 (Annexure P-3) separately in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioners are found to be meritorious then the respondent-Authority would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, respondent-Authority is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioners are not meritorious then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the respondent-Authority would consider the case of the petitioners independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

27.02.2024
Mehak

<i>Whether reasoned/speaking?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes</i> / <i>No</i>