



CR-5298-2024

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(115)

CR-5298-2024

Date of decision: - 13.09.2024

Amitpreet Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Harjinder Singh Sandhu and another

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Rajan Singh Dadwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present civil revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Malout to decide the applications under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC (Annexure P-10) and under Order 39 Rules 1 & 2 CPC (Annexure P-3), as expeditiously as possible.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that at this stage, the petitioner would pray that his application under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC (Annexure P-10) be decided as expeditiously as possible and within a time frame. It is further submitted that the said application was filed on 21.08.2023 and a reply to the said application has also been filed, but in spite of several dates, the same has not been decided.

3. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present revision petition is partly allowed and the trial Court is requested

CR-5298-2024

-2-

to decide the application under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC (Annexure P-10), filed by the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of three months from today.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has undertaken to argue the matter on the next date of hearing or whichever date the Court so directs. Counsel for the respondent-defendant in the trial Court is also requested to fully assist the Court in deciding the said application expeditiously.

September 13, 2024

naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No