

115

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-2214-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 23.01.2024

IMRAN KHAN AND ORS.

.. Petitioners

Vs.

MUMTAZ BEGUM AND ANR.

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Sunil Garg, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Navnika Tuteja, Advocate for
Mr. Sahil Puri, Advocate for respondent No.1.

...

SUMEET GOEL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners, at the outset, gives up respondent No.2 being unnecessary.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners as also learned counsel for respondent No.1 are *ad idem* that the parties have settled their dispute in toto & hence nothing survives in the present petition.
3. Disposed off as having become infructuous.
4. Liberty is reserved in favour of respondent No.2 to seek recall of this order on showing sufficient cause.

23.01.2024

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Jasmine Kaur

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

Yes No

Yes No