

288 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-46457-2023  
DATE OF DECISION:-04.04.2024

Kuldeep Singh and others

...Petitioners..

vs.

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents..

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA**

Present: Mr. Tanvir Singh Grewal, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Siddharth Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sukhpreet Singh Kang, Advocate,  
for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*

**HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)**

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioners pray for quashing of FIR No.72 dated 23.05.2023, registered under Sections 447, 511, 379, 506 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station Sadar Sangrur, District Sangrur, Punjab (Annexure P-1) and all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise deed dated 04.09.2023 (Annexure P-2).

2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioners tried to till the land and boundary lines belonging to the complainant and also tried to take forcible possession thereof, besides, it they also threatened to kill him.

3. In pursuance of orders dated 15.09.2023 and 05.12.2023 passed by this Court, whereby parties were directed to appear before the Trial

Court for getting their statements recorded as regards the veracity of compromise arrived at between them, report dated 01.04.2024 has been received from the concerned Court, stating that the compromise effected between complainant-Hardeep Singh and accused-Kuldeep Singh, Tewinder Singh and Yadwinder Singh, is genuine, the same has been effected voluntarily without any pressure, coercion or undue influence from any quarter. No accused has been declared as proclaimed person.

4. Once, the compromise has been arrived at between the parties without any pressure and respondent No.2 having no objection as regards quashing of FIR as well as all other subsequent proceedings arising out of the same against the petitioners; there does not appear to be any impediment as regards quashing of present FIR qua the petitioners. Even otherwise, in order to maintain peace and harmony between the parties, particularly under the circumstances wherein the alleged offences have no societal interest involved, it would be appropriate to render complete quietus to the aforementioned dispute by quashing the FIR on the basis of compromise entered into between the parties.

5. The parties having settled their dispute so as to live in peace in future, no useful purpose would be served by proceeding further with the criminal proceedings. In the light of above developments, no cause remains for the Trial Court to invest further time and effort in adjudicating this FIR. The compromise in question is even found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.***

6. Thus, in view of the aforesaid facts, accompanied by statements

of both the parties as well as keeping in mind the law laid down in the  
aforementioned judgments, FIR No.72 dated 23.05.2023, registered under  
Sections 447, 511, 379, 506 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station Sadar Sangrur,  
District Sangrur, Punjab as well as all subsequent proceedings arising  
therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, petition stands allowed subject to payment of costs  
of Rs.15,000/- to be deposited by the petitioners with the Poor Patient  
Welfare Fund, PGIMER, Chandigarh within a period of two weeks from the  
date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

04.04.2024  
sonika

whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

whether reportable: Yes/No

(HARKESH MANUJA)

JUDGE