



110

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-8636-2024
DATE OF DECISION: 06.09.2024

JASKARAN AND ANR ...PETITIONERS
Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS ... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Ms. Vini Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Chetan Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing the respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the lives and personal liberty of the petitioners and also directing the respondents No.4 to 8 not to interfere in the personal lives and liberty of the petitioners and further directing the respondents No.2 and 3 not to allow respondents No.4 to 8 or anybody else to interfere with the liberty and lives of the petitioners.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the instant case with liberty to file afresh, in case need so arises.

Allowed as prayed for.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty afore-said.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

06.09.2024

sangeeta/anuradha

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No