

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(207) CRM-M-48898-2022
Date of Decision:- 04.03.2024

Satnarayan @ NainuPetitioner

Versus

State of HaryanaRespondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Abhinash Jain, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

- 1 In pursuance of the directions issued by this Court vide order dated 15.01.2024, the learned State counsel has informed this Court that all the prosecution witnesses have been examined. Now, it is fixed for recording of statement under Section 313 Cr.P.C.of all the accused including the present petitioner.
- 2 In view of the specific statement suffered by learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the instant petition at this stage with liberty to re-access this Court, in case there is an inordinate delay in conclusion of the trial.
- 3 Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

March 04, 2024

geeta

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether Reportable:- Yes/No

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE