



CRM-M-44012-2024

2

“ 4. Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and no specific overt act is attributed to him. However, on the basis of disclosure statement (Annexure R-3), the petitioner has been implicated in this case. He contends that the petitioner had attacked Abhishek with wooden handle (binda) and submits that there is no medical examination of Abhishek on record.

5. The learned State counsel assisted by Investigating Officer of the case has not disputed the fact that there had not been any medical examination of Abhishek.


6. Learned counsel for the complainant, however, submits that the petitioner had actually participated in the occurrence.

7. Be it the case, without commenting on the merits, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

8. List on 04.12.2024.

9. Investigating Officer of the case to remain present in Court on that date. ”

6. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 25.11.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 25.11.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will



CRM-M-44012-2024 3

influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

7. The petition stands allowed.
8. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

04.12.2024
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |