



CRM-M-43998-2024

1

208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-43998-2024
Date of Decision : 24.10.2024**

SATINDER SINGH @ RINKU

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Piyush Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. On 06.09.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter
extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 482 of the B.N.S.S., 2023, the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.83 dated 08.08.2024, under Sections 420, 120-B of the IPC, registered at P.S. City Dhuri, District Sangrur.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present FIR. In fact, the petitioner is doing the business of delivering parcels and cash transfers. Moreover, at the time of joining inquiry, the petitioner had made a specific statement, thereby disclosing the manner in which ₹ 10,00,000/- was handed over to the person sent by the main accused, i.e. Aggarwal Iphone.

3. Notice of motion for 24.10.2024.

4. Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab.

5. In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023.”



CRM-M-43998-2024

2

2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by ASI Chamkaur Singh, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 06.09.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

- “(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;
- (ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;
- (iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

6. All pending application(s), if any, also stand **disposed** of accordingly.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

October 24, 2024
dharamvir

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No