



CRWP-8610-2024

-1-

**105 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-8610-2024

Date of Decision: 17.09.2024

Jaya Sharma and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Ms.Gursimran Walia, Advocate for
Mr.Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)**CRM-W-1165-2024**

Prayer in the present application is for impleading the complainant i.e. Shikha Agarwal (wife of petitioner No.2) as respondent No.7 in the present petition.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. Shikha Agarwal is ordered to be impleaded as respondent No.7.

Amended memo of parties annexed with the petition is taken on record.

Main case

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of private respondents No.4 to 7 and not to harass or interfere in their personal life and liberty.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that both the petitioners are married. She submits that petitioner No.1 is married with

respondent No.5, whereas, petitioner No.2 is married with newly impleaded respondent No.7. She submits that both the petitioners are major and living in live-in-relationship against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 7 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents no.4 to 7. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 06.08.2024 (Annexure P-4) to respondent no.2 for granting protection to their life and liberty.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.
4. Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. AG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State.
5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.2-Superintendent of Police, Palwal, to decide the representation (Annexure P-4) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.
6. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in relationship.

17.09.2024

sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE