



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR-4791-2022
Date of decision : 16.09.2024

Bhupinder Singh Bindra ... Petitioner

Versus

Santosh Kumar Chadha ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Gurpreet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner-landlord has submitted that Annexure P-3 was the statement made by the respondent-tenant to the effect that the possession has been taken by the petitioner and therefore, submitted that the rent proceedings be declared as infructuous and that the respondent-tenant has filed a petition under Section 6 of the Specific Relief Act for taking back the possession of the shop. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that even the petition under Section 6 of the Specific Relief Act filed by the respondent-tenant has been dismissed vide order dated 28.02.2024 and thus, nothing survives in the present case as the petitioner is in possession of the property and the petition for taking back the possession has been dismissed.
2. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the same, in case any cause survives.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

September 16, 2024.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No