

114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Irshad Ahmed ...Petitioner

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
2	07.01.2021	Utawar, District Palwal	148, 149, 323, 341, 379-B, 506 IPC and 25 of Arms Act (Section 307 IPC added later on)

1. Seeking issuance of appropriate directions to the official respondents No.2 to 4 to arrest the respondents No.5 to 7 in the FIR captioned above, the petitioner has come up before this Court under Section 528 BNSS.
2. Counsel for the petitioner confines his prayer to the extent of deciding the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-3) in a time bound manner.
3. Notice served upon the official respondent through State counsel. The nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of calling any response from the State.
4. State counsel submits that they would take a decision on the same within a period of three months.
5. In view of prayer made by counsel for the petitioner, respondent No.3 is directed to decide representation dated 26.04.2024 (Annexure P-3) himself/herself or



CRM-M No. 43995 of 2024

by delegating the same to any officer not below the rank of HPS, within a period of two months by passing a speaking and reasoned order and such order be also communicated to the petitioner as well as his counsel on the e-mail ID provided to State counsel during the course of day.

6. There would be no need for a certified copy of this order, and any Advocate for the Petitioner and State can download this order and other relevant particulars from the official web page of this court and attest it to be a true copy.

7. Petition is allowed with the observations mentioned above. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

16.09.2024
Jyoti Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No.