





and he is in custody since 27.06.2024. Thus, further custody of the petitioner will not serve any meaningful purpose.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. Admittedly, no recovery was effected from the present petitioner in the present case and he is custody since 27.06.2024. Moreover, the co-accused, Nirmal Singh @ Nimma, from whom recovery was effected, is still in custody. Thus, there is no useful purpose to keep the petitioner behind bars.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

11.09.2024.
hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No