



157

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****COCP-3126-2024****Date of Decision: 02.12.2024****SAMEER PURI****....Petitioner(s)****VERSUS****ARUN KUMAR GUPTA, IAS AND ORS****....Respondent(s)****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA**

Present: Mr. J.P. Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, Senior DAG, Haryana
for respondent Nos.1 & 3.

Mr. Vivek Saini, Advocate for respondent No.2.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

Mr. Munish Yadav, District Town Planner (Enforcement)

Gurugram (appearing through video conferencing) submits that the necessary order in terms of the directions issued by the Writ Court shall be passed within a period of 10 days from today.

In view of above, petition stands disposed of.

Rule stands discharged.

However, in case the needful is not done within the afore-stated period, as per undertaking, the petitioner would be at liberty to seek revival of the present contempt petition and in that eventuality, the erring /concerned officer(s) would be liable to pay additional sum of Rs.50,000/- as costs from his/her own pocket in favour of the petitioner towards litigation expenses, immediately, i.e. on the first date of listing of revival application.

**(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE****December 02, 2024**

Sangeeta

Whether reasoned/speaking:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No