

129 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-4606-2022(O&M)
Date of decision :04.04.2024

Madan Lal ...Petitioner

Vs.

Santosh Kumar and others ...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Manish Mehta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.P. Singh, Advocate and
Mr.Tarun Hooda, Advocate
for the respondents No. 11 and 13.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel representing the petitioner has drawn the attention of the Court to Section 36 of the Stamp Act, 1899 and admitted that both the documents have already been admitted in evidence and exhibited as Ex.PW3/B and Ex.PW8/C. He prays for permission to withdraw the revision petition in order to take appropriate plea before the trial Court.
2. Ordered accordingly.
3. Needless to observe that the observations made by the trial Court while passing the impugned order shall not be construed as the final expression on the merits of the case.

04.04.2024	(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
neeraj	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes No
Whether Reportable :	Yes No