



107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

CRWP-8585-2024
Date of Decision:05.09.2024

MONIKA AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
....Petitioners
....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Kinshuk Nanda, Advocate for the petitioners.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioners are praying for direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to provide protection to their life and liberty from the hands of private respondents No.4 to 12.

2. In the petition, it has been stated that both the petitioners are into live-in-relationship which has been opposed by private respondents No.4 to 12.

3. Counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 a female aged about 19 years and petitioner No.2 a male aged more than 18 years are into live-in-relationship against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 12 who are extending threats to cause harm to the petitioners and in this regard petitioners have already given representation dated 02.09.2024 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2 seeking protection to their life and liberty but the police authorities failed to take any action in this regard till date.

4. Notice of motion.



5. Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, Deputy AG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and on instructions from HC Sushil submits that as both the petitioners are major and living together with their own free will and consent, the State has no objection to the same, in case they have not committed any crime. Learned State counsel further submits that as per his instructions, even some of the private respondents have made statements before the police authorities that they are having no objection to the aforesaid relation maintained by the petitioners. It is further submitted that in case any such representation filed by the petitioners is pending, the same will be decided by the competent authority, in accordance with law.

6. In view of above, without going into the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decided representation dated 02.09.2024 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners in accordance with law, at the earliest.

7. However, any observations made in this order will not be construed as an expression of opinion on the validity of the relationship of the petitioners. It is also made clear that respondents No.4 to 12 are at liberty to avail appropriate remedy available to them under law against the petitioners. In case the petitioners have committed any offence, law will take its own course.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

05.09.2024
Priyanka Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No