



**121+123 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision : 04-09-2024

1.

CWP-22131-2024 (O&M)

MANINDER SINGH

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

and

2.

CWP-22136-2024 (O&M)

PARMINDER SINGH

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS
-Petitioner

.....Respondent(s)

.....Petitioner

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Nikhil Anand, Advocate
 for the petitioner(s).

 Mr. Swapan Shorey, DAG Punjab assisted by
 Ms. Baljinder Kaur, Establishment Officer,
 O/o Chief Auditor, Cooperative Societies, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Present set of two writ petitions involve common question of law in the background of common set of facts and thus they are being decided by a common order.

In the present set of petitions, the grievance being raised by the petitioner in both the petitions is that the petitioners are being transferred

prematurely which is against the Transfer Policy dated 23.05.2018 (Annexure P-3) qua the transfer order dated 31.08.2024.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioners have been transferred without completing the minimum period of 3 years at the last place of posting and further, the case of the petitioners are of couple case as the wife of the petitioner in CWP No.22136 of 2024 is posted in Kapurthala and wife of the petitioner in CWP No.22131 of 2024 is posted in Jalandhar, whereas the petitioners have been posted far away from the District Kapurthala and Jalandhar respectively.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners intends to approach the respondents by filing an appropriate representation for consideration of their case sympathetically so that the petitioners and their wives can remain in the same or at nearby district.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Swapan Shorey, DAG Punjab assisted by Ms. Baljinder Kaur, Establishment Officer, Chief Auditor, Cooperative Societies submits that in case any representation is received from the petitioners citing couple cases, the sympathetic consideration will be given to the said representation and all the efforts will be made to post the petitioners in the district where their wives have been posted so that, the petitioners does not suffer any prejudice and in case due to some administrative exigencies, it is not possible, due reasons will be mentioned while rejecting the claim of the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned State counsel, no further grievance of the petitioner in both the cases survives and the present set of two petitions may

kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further with liberty to file appropriate representation as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case file.

04-09-2024
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking : YES/NO
Whether reportable: YES/NO