



134

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

INCOMP-641-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 21.11.2024

Rajiv Kumar and another

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: None for the petitioners.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The aforesaid petition was filed on 10.12.2021 by Mr. Dharam Bir Bhargav, Advocate. However, certain objections were raised by the Registry.
2. As per Rule 9, Chapter 1, Part A, Volume V, Punjab and Haryana High Court Rules and Orders, the petition, on which, objections have been raised by the Registry, is to be taken back by the counsel/party, who filed it, to re-file within a period of 40 days. However, the same was not taken back for re-filing after removal of objections. On failure to do the needful, the case is to be listed before the Court for orders.
3. Even after publication of the note requesting the Advocate to collect his case for re-filing after rectification of objections, the needful was

not done. Consequently, the matter has been listed before this Court in urgent list today.

4. No one has appeared on behalf of the petitioners.
5. In view of the aforementioned facts, no further order is required to be passed. The file be consigned to the record.

21.11.2024
vishnu

[HARPREET SINGH BRAR]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No