



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

230

Date of Decision: 04.11.2024

1. CWP-25438-2021

KUSUM AND ANOTHER

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

2. CWP-26568-2021

NEHA BHARDWAJ

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Dalbir Singh, Advocate; and
Ms. Amrita Nagpal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Krishan K. Chahal, Additional A. G., Haryana

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) contend that the matters have been rendered infructuous since during the pendency of the petitions the Department has withdrawn the impugned letter dated 04.10.2021. Accordingly, they may be permitted to withdraw the petition(s) with liberty to make representation(s) seeking benefits, if any, admissible to them consequent to withdrawal of the impugned order.

2. Learned State counsel contends that in case any such representation(s) are filed by the petitioner(s) within a period of three weeks, the

Education, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of three months.

- 3. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner(s) have no objection to the petitions being disposed of in terms thereof.
- 4. Ordered accordingly.
- 5. A photocopy of this order be placed on the connected case file.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

04.11.2024
Ad

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>