



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

109+110+111+114

Date of decision: 09.09.2024

- (I) CWP-22600-2024
Neelam vs. State of Haryana and Another
- (II) CWP-22603-2024
Sumitra vs. State of Haryana and Another
- (III) CWP-22612-2024
Savita vs. State of Haryana and Another
- (IV) CWP-22634-2024
Baby vs. State of Haryana and Another

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Shvetanshu Goel, Advocate for the petitioners

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. These cases involve similar issues and therefore, are being disposed of together by this common order.
2. The prayer in the present petitions is for directing the respondent-authorities to regularise the services of the petitioners from the date of entitlement and release all the consequential benefits.
3. Learned counsel would contend that the petitioners have been working as a part-time sweepers more than 16 years, however, despite there being instructions in their favour, they have not been granted regularisation. Their cases are squarely covered by the judgment passed by the Division Bench of this Court in **State of Haryana and Others vs. Jai Bhagwan** in LPA-1892-2019 and other connected appeals, decided on 26.07.2024. In this regard, representations dated 24.07.2024, Annexure P-2, have been submitted by them, but the same have yet not evoked any response. He thus, at this stage, on instructions from the

petitioners, prays that a direction be given to the respondents to decide the same, keeping in view the aforesaid judgment in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.
5. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, AAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and has no objection to the limited prayer made.
6. In view of the above and without commenting on the merits of the case, these petitions are hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation dated 24.07.2024, taking note of the afore-referred judgment, within a period of 6 months, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner. Upon doing so, after notice and hearing offered to them and if found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to their interest, the same shall contain reasons and the petitioners shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.
7. A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of connected cases.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

09.09.2024
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No