



CWP-25773-2021 (O&M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

234

CWP-25773-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision :04.10.2024

Kanchan Bala**...Petitioner**

Versus

State of Punjab and others**...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, AAG, Punjab.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

In the present petition, grievance being raised by the petitioner is that respondent No.4 has been appointed as Embroidery Instructor at her place so as to terminate the services of the petitioner.

As per the averments made in the petition, the petitioner was appointed as Embroidery Instructor in August, 2010 and she continued working as such but thereafter, the respondents have appointed respondent No.4 and the work of the petitioner has been given to respondent No.4 hence, the services of the petitioner will be terminated.

Upon notice of motion, the respondents have filed reply wherein, it has been mentioned that the petitioner has been working as Guest Faculty Lecturer in Embroidery and Needle work whereas, the respondent



CWP-25773-2021 (O&M) -2-

No.4 has been appointed as Guest Faculty Lecturer in Dressmaking by issuing due advertisement and the appointment of respondent No.4 has been done after following due process. It has been further mentioned in the reply that both petitioner as well as respondent No.4 are though working as Guest Faculty Lecturer but in different field hence, no grievance can be raised by the petitioner.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

Once, the fact that both petitioner as well as respondent No.4 are working as Guest Faculty Lecturer but in different field and appointment of respondent No.4 as Guest Faculty Lecturer is in Dressmaking, the petitioner cannot raise any grievance as the petitioner is working as Guest Faculty Lecturer in Embroidery and Needle work. Once, the respondent No.4 has not been appointed to replace the petitioner as both are working in different field, no grievance can be raised by the petitioner qua the appointment of respondent No.4.

Keeping in view the above, no ground for interference by this Court is made out and the present petition is accordingly dismissed.

Civil miscellaneous application pending, if any is also disposed of.

October 04, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No