



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.46456 of 2023
Date of decision: 1st October, 2024

Sumit
... Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana & others
... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Virender Soni, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Gagandeep S. Chhina, Asst. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State.
Mr. Sushil K. Sharma, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 5.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing FIR No.380 dated 14.07.2021 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 307, 452, 506, 511 of the IPC registered at Police Station Sampla, District Rohtak along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 30.08.2023 (Annexure P-2 & P-6) effected between the parties.
2. Vide order dated 01.08.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.
3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Rohtak, in pursuance of the direction of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties

stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioner is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioner and respondents No.2 to 5 are the only aggrieved persons in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Rohtak and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in '**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others**' (2012) 10 SCC 303, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it qua the petitioner, are quashed.

7. Needless to say, the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

October 1, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No