

1

AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-22091-2024

Date of Decision : September 04, 2024

.. Petitioners

Versus

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate and
Ms. Mona Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, Assistant Advocate General,
Punjab.

Ms. Divya Godara, Advocate, for respondent-University.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that they are not being given the salary equivalent to their counterparts who are working in the Punjab University and even further, their mobile allowance has been reduced from Rs.1000/- to Rs.600/- and that too without giving any opportunity of hearing.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioner in the representation dated 30.07.2024 (Annexure P-18) which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.



CWP-22091-2024 2

4. Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and Ms. Divya Godara, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-University.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the representation dated 30.07.2024 (Annexure P-18) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision any relief is to be extended to the petitioners, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioners for their information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

September 04, 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No