

2024-10-19 14:47
2024-10-19 14:47

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

213

CRM-M-43500-2024

Date of Decision : **October 16, 2024**

BALJIT SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Sandeep Kotla, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Abhinash Jain, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Anshul Bathija, Advocate for the complainant.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. On 5.9.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 482 of the B.N.S.S., the petitioner has sought the concession of his being enlarged on anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.185 dated 27.07.2024, under Sections 191(2)/191(3)/190/115(2)/118(1)/126(2)/109 BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Bhattu Kalan, Fatehabad.

In asking for the relief (supra), learned counsel for the petitioner submits that neither the petitioner is named in the FIR, nor any injury is attributed to him.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Additional A.G., Haryana, waives service of notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

List on 16.10.2024.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall

be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bond to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 5.9.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

October 16, 2024
ajay-1

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No