



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

215

CRM-M-43824-2024

Date of Decision : **October 16, 2024**

NAVDEEP SINGH AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Ms. Amisha Batra, Advocate for
Mr. Birinder Pal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Aayush Goyal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.**KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)**

1. Reply dated 14.10.2024 by way of an affidavit of Shivdarshan Singh, PPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, West, Amritsar has been filed by the learned State counsel today in the Court, the same is taken on record.

2. On 5.9.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 482 of B.N.S.S, the petitioners have sought the concession of their being enlarged on anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.44 dated 07.03.2024, under Sections 307, 323, 379, 452, 427, 506 and 120-B of IPC, and under Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Chheharta, District Amritsar.

Though there are specific allegations against petitioner No.1-Navdeep Singh, that he has caused gun-shot injury, which has in fact hit on the calf of the complainant, however, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that now the matter has been amicably settled between the parties concerned, and furthermore one other petition pertaining to quashing of the FIR (supra), on the basis of compromise is pending before this Court.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab, waives service on behalf of the respondent-State, whereas, Mr. A.S. Mann, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and, submits that he has no objection in case the petitioners are granted the relief of anticipatory bail.

List on 16.10.2024.

In the meantime, the petitioners are directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency as and when called upon to do so. In the event of their arrest, they shall be admitted to interim bail on their furnishing bail bond to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS.”

3. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 5.9.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

October 16, 2024
ajay-1

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No

Whether Reportable. : Yes/No