



110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-8522-2024
DATE OF DECISION: 05.09.2024**

GURMEET SINGH

...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Ms. Pooja, Advocate for
Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Jaspal Singh Guru, AAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India in the nature of mandamus for issuance of a direction to official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his persons from the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5 as the petitioner along with his persons were working in the brick kiln of responded No. 4 and 5 but they have kept there as bonded labour.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that she will be satisfied, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 27.08.2024 (Annexure P-2) within a time bound manner.

3. Without adverting to the merits of the case, the respondent No.2-SSP, Gurdaspur is directed to consider and decide the representation dated 27.08.2024 (Annexure P-2) by passing a speaking



CRWP-8522-2024

-2-

order in accordance with law within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

- 4. A copy of order so passed be supplied to the counsel for the petitioner within one week thereafter.
- 5. Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

05.09.2024
anuradha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>