



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-43416-2024

Date of decision: 16.12.2024

PARAMJIT KAUR ALIAS JYOTI

....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

....RESPONDENT

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for the complainant.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
64	16.08.2024	108, 3 (5) of BNS, 2023	Nurmehal, District Jalandhar Rural.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 20.11.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such prayed for confirming the interim bail granted vide order dated 20.11.2024.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Bodhraj, intimates the Court that the petitioner has joined investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.



CRM-M-43416-2024

2

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. During the course of hearing on 20.11.2024, following order was passed:

“Heard.

2. *It is, inter alia, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner, being the sister-in-law of the deceased, has been falsely implicated in this case without there being any role on her part in the alleged occurrence. He further contends that the petitioner had been living in her matrimonial home and as per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the deceased is alleged to have been harassed by the petitioner and her husband Jaspreet Singh on account of the deceased demanding back the amount of Rs.5 lakhs given by him earlier to them on the basis of written agreement dated 08.01.2024 (Annexure R-1). He categorically points out that as per Annexure R-1, the due date for repayment was fixed as 07.01.2025 and it is evident therefrom that the petitioner and her husband had already mortgaged some gold in lieu of taking the said amount from the deceased. In these circumstances, he contends that there was no occasion for the deceased for being harassed by the petitioner on account of demanding the amount when it was not due on the alleged date. He further contends that the co-accused Harjeet Kaur and Lehmbur Singh has since been admitted to bail. The petitioner being lady aged about 29 years having no criminal antecedents is unnecessarily being harassed. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail.*

3. *Per contra, learned State counsel by referring to the reply submitted by the State contends that the allegations against the present petitioner are that a sum of Rs.5 lakh had*



CRM-M-43416-2024

3

been given to petitioner and her husband Jaspreet Singh by the deceased as per Annexure R-1, which he is stated to be demanding back and as a consequent, harassed by the petitioner and other co-accused, however, they have not disputed the fact that due date for repayment as per Annexure R-1 was 07.01.2025. Admittedly, no suicide note has been left by the deceased.

4. *In these circumstances, without commenting on the merits of the case, it is deemed appropriate that the petitioner should join the investigation first before deciding the application on merits.*

5. *Accordingly, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and in the event of her arrest, she is ordered to be released on interim bail on her furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. She shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023.*

6. *List on 16.12.2024. ”*

6. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 20.11.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 20.11.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; she will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country



CRM-M-43416-2024

4

without prior permission of the Court.

- 7. The petition stands allowed.
- 8. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

16.12.2024
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |