



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-8493-2024
Date of decision : October 01, 2024

Simrit JagdevPetitioner
Versus
State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Shashikant Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner
Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab
Mr. GS Bedi, Advocate for Mahavir Singh Jagdev and
Amarjeet Jagdev, parents of the petitioner

KULDEEP TIWARI,J. (ORAL)

1. Mr. GS Bedi, Advocate, has caused appearance on behalf of Mahavir Singh Jagdev and Amarjeet Jagdev, who are parents of the present petitioner, and submits that the instant petition has been filed by concealing the material facts.
2. On the other hand, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that now the petitioner has left to London, and therefore, she does not have any threat perception, at this stage.
3. In view of above statement of the learned counsel for the petitioner, the prayer made in the instant petition has been rendered infructuous. **Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.**

October 01, 2024
'tiwana'

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ? Yes/No
Whether Reportable ? Yes/No