

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

114

CWP-21851-2024.
Date of Decision: 04.09.2024.

M/s HM Web House Pvt. Ltd.Petitioner.

VERSUS

Canara BankRespondent.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE LAPITA BANERJI

Present: Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner had preferred SA, which was dismissed in default on 17.10.2023. The petitioner had preferred an application for restoration of SA on 14.11.2023 and notice was issued in the application, but thereafter due to non-functioning of DRT-II, the same could not be listed. He submits that he may be granted protection till its application is decided.

2. Issue notice to the respondent.
3. Mr. Rakshit Gupta, Advocate has put in appearance and waives service of notice to the respondent.
4. The petitioner or a litigant cannot be left remediless especially when the same has been provided by the statute.

5. In view of the limited prayer, the petition is disposed of with a direction that no coercive steps would be taken against the petitioner till the application for restoration preferred by the petitioner is listed for hearing. However, it is made clear that petitioner would not make any attempt to delay the proceedings including non removal of defects, if any.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

(LAPITA BANERJI)
JUDGE

04.09.2024
jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No
Whether Reportable : Yes/ No