



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

118

CWP-22066-2024
Date of decision: 04.09.2024

Dinanath Arora ...Petitioner
Versus
The Secretary to Govt. of India and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Lekh Raj Sharma and Mr. Abhishek Sharma, Advocates
for the petitioner.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel would contend that the pensionary benefits alongwith consequential benefits have not been granted to the petitioner. In this regard, a legal notice dated 15.11.2023, Annexure P-5, has been served upon the respondents however the same has yet not evoked any response. He thus, at this stage, on instructions, from the petitioner, prays that a direction is given to the respondents to decide the same in a time bound manner.
2. Notice of motion.
3. At the asking of the Court, Ms. Saigeeta Srivastava, Advocate for respondent No.1-UOI and Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana for the respondent-State, respectively accept notice and have no objection to the limited prayer made.
4. In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 15.11.2023, Annexure P-5, expeditiously, in accordance with law.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

04.09.2024
Hemant

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No