



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.124

CWP-21907-2024
Date of Decision: 03.09.2024

Rakesh Singh Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar Dhanda, Advocate for the petitioner.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

The petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to re-fix the petitioner's pension by granting him the benefit of annual increment in the last year of retirement.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in the last year of retirement, the petitioner has completed the requisite six months or more of satisfactory service, and became entitled to one annual increment on that basis. The law in this regard stands settled by the Supreme Court in *The Director (Admn. and HR) KPTCL and others v. C.P.Mundinamani and others*, 2023 SCC Online SC 401, and this Court in CWP No.8364 of 2024, titled *Suresh Kumar Singla and others v. State of Haryana and others*, decided on 16.04.2024. Still, the petitioner's claim has not been considered, nor has his representation, dated 17.05.2024, Annexure P-6, been decided so far.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Krishan K. Chahal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that the pending representation, dated 17.05.2024, will be decided by respondent No.3-



Director General, Skill Development and Industrial Training Department,
keeping in view the law laid down in the aforesaid judgments, within three
months.

5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the
petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.

6. Ordered accordingly.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

03.09.2024
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No