

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

201

CWP-27307-2019
Date of decision: 26.02.2024

DEEPAK AWLA AND ORS
Vs.
....PETITIONERS

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.
...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Ms. Navneet Kaur, Advocate and
Ms. Dilpreet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Daman Dhir, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sunish Bindlish, Standing Counsel
for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

Ms. Deepali Puri, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 6 and 9.

Mr. Aman Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No. 8.

Mr. Mayank Mathur, Advocate
for respondent-MARKFED.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioners through instant petitions are seeking direction to the respondent-State not to recover ₹7.56 per quintal from the petitioners on account of final determination of driage at lower rate for Kharif Marketing Season 2013-14 than provisionally determined. The petitioners are further seeking quashing of letter dated 21.09.2017 (Annexure P-5) whereby Government of India has finally determined driage @₹ 5.89 per quintal though provisionally it was fixed @ 13.45/- per quintal.
2. Learned counsel for the parties are *ad-idem* that issue involved in the present petitions stands adjudicated by this Court in a Bunch of petitions

including *CWP No.20840 of 2021 titled as M/s Jagdambe Rice Mill and others Vs. State of Punjab and others*. They further submit that State as well as State Agencies have filed LPA against the aforesaid judgment.

3. As order dated 17.08.2023 passed in CWP No.20840 of 2021 has not been stayed by the Appellate Court, the present petitions deserve to be disposed of in terms of order dated 17.08.2023. Accordingly, petitions are disposed of in terms of order dated 17.08.2023 passed in *CWP No.2084 of 2021*.

26.02.2024

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

anju

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No