



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.43336 of 2024**  
Date of decision: 4<sup>th</sup> November, 2024

Surender Singh Lather

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & another

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Mr. Sunil K. Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Yuvraj Shandilya, Asst. Advocate General, Haryana  
for the respondent/State.

Mr. S.S. Siao, Advocate for

Mr. Rajinder K. Singla, Advocate for respondent No.2.

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing FIR No.1156 dated 15.12.2021 under Sections 201, 323, 324, 506 of the IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Karnal along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 29.04.2024 (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 04.09.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate, 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Karnal, in pursuance of the direction of this Court,

wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioner is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioner and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Karnal and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in '**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others**' (2012) 10 SCC 303, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it qua the petitioner, are quashed.

7. Needless to say, the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE

November 4, 2024  
*rps*

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |