



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

(114)

CWP No. 22474 of 2024  
Date of Decision : 06.09.2024

Sanyogita

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Sandeep Thakan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

\*\*\*

**Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that with regard to the calculation of the basic pay by including the NPA and other allowances was decided by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court while passing order in CWP No. 28518 of 2013 titled as ***Dr. Baldev Raj Bhandari and others Vs. State of Punjab and others***, decided on 24.05.2017 and in terms of the decision in ***Dr. Baldev Raj Bhandari and others (supra)***, the pension of the late husband of the petitioner was liable to be revised and consequent family pension of the petitioner should have been revised by the respondents but the benefit is not being given to the petitioner, which is causing prejudice as the petitioner is getting lesser family pension than her entitlement.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 21.03.2024 (Annexure P-9) but the same is still pending consideration with the



respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Arun Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 21.03.2024 (Annexure P-9) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

**September 06, 2024**  
*kanchan*

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes*

*Whether reportable : No*