



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision : 03-09-2024

VERSUS

.....Respondent(s)

In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that the candidate who has been selected against the post of Technial Assistant (Blood Bank) was not eligible as she did not have 2 years of experience on the last date of application form, which is required for the post, which is clear from the information which has been supplied to the learned counsel for the petitioner by Guru Gobind Singh Medical College, Faridkot, where the appointments were to be made.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that upto the last date of application form, even if all the experience certificates given by the petitioner are to be made admissible, she only had 1 year and 8 months experience which is less than 2 years, which experience was required for the

post in question and therefore the selection of the private respondent is arbitrary and illegal as she did not fulfil the eligibility criteria for the post in question.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the grievance which has been raised by the petitioner in the present petition has also been raised in the representation dated 18.07.2024 addressed to the Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot, copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-13 and the respondents be directed to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Satnampreet Singh Chauhan, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and Mr. K.S Dadwal, Advocate appears notice on behalf of the respondent-University and submits that in case any representation has been received in the office, the same shall be decided in accordance with the law by passing an appropriate speaking order which will be passed within a period of 8 weeks from the receipt of copy of this order and in case, it is found that the selection of the private respondent is bad, appropriate decision will be taken and it is found that the selection of the private respondent is valid, due reasons will be mentioned, accepting the same qua the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, present petition may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

It is directed that as the allegations are being made against respondent No.6, before any order is passed on the representation of the petitioner, due opportunity of hearing be given to respondent No.6 and her side of the story be also noticed while passing the speaking order.

Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

03-09-2024
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES/NO
Whether reportable: YES/NO