

2024-PHHC:114303



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

SR. No.108

CRWP-8473-2024

Date of decision:03.09.2024

Kushalya and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S. SHEKHAWAT

Present: Mr. Satnam Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

N.S. SHEKHAWAT, J.

The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that one FIR No.111 dated 26.07.2024 under Section 70 of BNS, 2023 and Section 6 of POCSO Act at Police Station Adampur, District Jalandhar Rural was ordered to be registered against son of petitioners No.1 and 2. He further contends that now respondents No.4 and 5 are harassing the petitioners, especially the daughters of petitioners No.1 and 2. Learned counsel further contends that the petitioners are not trying to help and protect the accused, who are named in the above-mentioned FIR, still they are being harassed by the local police.

On an advance notice, Mr. I.P.S. Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab has appeared on behalf of respondent-State and submitted that the police will take action only against Ravinder Singh and the petitioners shall not be harassed by local police in any maner. He further contends that the police had only gone to the house of the petitioners just to enquire about Ravinder Singh, against whom allegations have been levelled by the complainant in FIR No.111 dated

26.07.2024 under Section 70 of BNS, 2023 and Section 6 of POCSO Act at Police Station Adampur, District Jalandhar.

In view of the above-said statement made by learned State counsel, no further orders are required to be passed and accordingly, the present petition stands disposed of.

03.09.2024	(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)
mks	JUDGE
Whether Speaking/Reasoned:	YES / NO
Whether Reportable:	YES / NO