



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-45253-2023
DECIDED ON: 09.09.2024**

NISHAN SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Randeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhsandesh Singh Chahal, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Mohit, Advocate for
Mr. H.S. Diwana, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of appropriate directions/orders holding the action of respondent No.3 in conducting multiple enquiries and now entrusting third enquiry to respondent No.4 in similar complaint filed by respondent No.5 on the same set of allegations of demand of dowry and harassment ignoring the fact that twice the enquiry has been conducted (Annexures P-2 and P-4) and complaint found to be false and the said enquiry reports have been approved by respondents No.2 i.e. SSP, Batala being the competent authority with further direction not to conduct repeated enquiries one after the other as the same is against the well settled law.

Reply by way of affidavit dated 07.09.2024 of Sh. Sukhpal Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Fategarh Churian, Police District Batala, on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4, has been filed, which is taken on record.

Copy of the same furnished to the learned counsel for the petitioner, who prays for time to address the arguments.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sukhminder Singh, refer to the para No.6 of the aforesaid affidavit, in which, it is deposed as under:-

“6. That, it is humbly submitted that the answering respondent ensure this Hon’ble Court that no multiple enquiries will be conducted in the above said matter with same set of allegations.”

In the light of above, learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that, at this stage, no grievance is left unaddressed and therefore, do not want to press the instant petition any further and he be permitted to withdraw the instant petition as having been rendered infructuous.

In the aforesaid terms, the instant petition is dismissed as withdrawn as having been rendered infructuous.

09.09.2024
Mani Kumar

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*
Whether reportable *Yes/No*