



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(126)

COCP-3093-2024

DATE OF DECISION: 04.09.2024

VIRENDER SINGH AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

DUSHMANTA KUMAR BEHERA

.....Respondent

CORAM HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present Mr.Hardeep Singh Kasan, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, Sr.DAG, Haryana.

HARKESH MANUJA, J (ORAL)

1. By way of present petition filed under Article 215 of the Constitution of India read with Sections 10 & 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, contempt proceedings are sought to be initiated against the respondent towards alleged willful disobedience of order dated 20.05.2024 (Annexure P-1) passed by this Court in CWP-11936-2024.

2. Compliance report vide memo dated 04.09.2024 issued by the office of Directorate of Development and Panchayats Department Haryana has been filed in Court today alongwith the copy of order dated 31.10.2023, which is taken on record.

3. Learned State counsel representing the respondent submits the in view of order dated 31.10.2023, all the petitioners have been promoted to the post of Accountant in the pay matrix Level-6 in the pay range of Rs.35,400-1,12,400/- plus usual allowance as are admissible under the State Government Rules and Instructions issued from time to time with immediate effect.

4. In view of aforesaid stand taken by the respondent, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press the present petition.



5. Dismissed as not pressed. Rule stands discharged.
6. However, in case as per the compliance report, any claim of the petitioners still survives, they would be at liberty to raise their claim by filing representation(s) before the quarter concerned within a period of two weeks from the release of payment, which shall be dealt with, as per law, within a period of four weeks thereafter from the date of its receipt by passing a speaking order thereupon, which shall be conveyed to the petitioners within a period of two weeks thereafter and in case of any delay on the part of respondent, the officer concerned would be liable to pay cost(s) of Rs,50,000/- to each of the petitioners from his/her own pocket.
7. Also, it is made clear that in an eventuality, wherein the petitioners are compelled to approach this Court seeking revival of the contempt petition, the respondent(s) shall bear the additional cost(s) of Rs.1,00,000/- towards litigation expenses incurred by the petitioners.

04.09.2024
mamta

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No