

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-43221-2024
Date of decision: 05.12.2024

TANU MITTAL
....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB
....RESPONDENT

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Bishnoi Abhimanyu, Advocate for
Mr. Shiv Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Singh Bhatta, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Sunita Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate for the complainant.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS,
petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
84	11.07.2024	406, 420, 120-B of IPC	Sarabha Nagar, District Ludhiana

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 07.11.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such prayed for confirming the interim bail granted vide order dated 07.11.2024.
3. Learned State counsel intimates the Court that the petitioner has joined investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.



CRM-M-43221-2024

2

4. Learned counsel for the complainant has opposed the petition on the ground that keeping in view the gravity of the offence, the petition does not deserves the concession of bail.

5. During the course of hearing on 07.11.2024, following order was passed:

“Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter has been settled between the parties. He has supplied a photocopy of the written compromise dated 10.10.2024, which is taken on record. He submits that the petitioner is not having any criminal antecedents and is ready to join the investigation.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant has not disputed the factum of compromise having been effected between the parties on 10.10.2024.

Learned State counsel has also not disputed the factual matrix.

Be it the case, keeping in view the facts and circumstances, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and co-operate in the same. In the event of the arrest of the petitioner, she shall be released on interim bail on her furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. She shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS. List on 28.11.2024. ”

6. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 07.11.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 07.11.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to



CRM-M-43221-2024

3

conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; she will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

- 7. The petition stands allowed.
- 8. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

05.12.2024
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |