



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

117

CRWP-8413-2024(O&M)
Date of Decision: 31.08.2024

VARSHA DEVI AND ANR

....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Mr. Arav Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Arjun Lakhanpal, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the official respondents no.1 to 3 for protecting lives and liberty of the petitioners and debarring respondents no.4 to 6 and other relative of petitioner no.1 from interfering in the peaceful married life of the petitioners and to decide representation dated 27.08.2024 (Annexure P-5).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have recently filed a representation on 27.08.2024 before the Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra (Annexure P-5) raising their grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police,



Kurukshetra to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioners and take necessary action thereon.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Arjun Lakhanpal, Addl. A.G. Haryana who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the aforesaid representation dated 27.08.2024 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners within a period of 15 days from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioners, the official respondents would provide them adequate security in order to protect their life and liberty.

31.08.2024

Deepak Patwal

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No