

2024:PHHC:116148



IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH

125

CWP-22218-2024 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 05.09.2024.

BHUPENDER SINGH AND OTHERS

.. Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. K.D.S. Hooda, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Shruti Jain, Sr. DAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Vivek Saini, Advocate,
for respondent No.3 to 5.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Challenge in the present writ petition is to the selection list on the ground that the petitioners were higher in the order of merit than the private respondents, yet, they were not offered appointment and instead private respondents have been retained in service.

2 Learned State counsel submits that the impugned selection list has already been quashed by a Division Bench of this High Court vide

2024:PHHC:116148



judgment dated 31.05.2024 passed in CWP No.1563 of 2024 titled as ‘Sukriti Malik Vs. State of Haryana and others’ along with connected writ petitions and LPAs and that the said judgment has also been upheld by the Hon’ble Supreme Court. She contends that the private respondents are continuing as an interim stop gap arrangement in terms of indulgence granted by the Court since a review has already been preferred by the selected candidates.

3 In view of above, the present writ petition seeking quashing of the selection list, which has already been quashed, would not be maintainable at this stage.

4 Faced with above, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press the instant petition at this stage.

5 Disposed of as not pressed at this stage with liberty to the petitioners to file a fresh petition on the same cause of action in the event of respondents succeeding in the review application and/or in any consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

September 05, 2024.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No