



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(122)

CWP No. 23364 of 2024
Date of Decision : 16.09.2024

Snehjot Dhawan @ Hardeep Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI*

Present: Mr. Sharwan Sehgal, Advocate with
Ms. Mehak Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that though the petitioner has worked on the post of Superintendent Jail, which is a higher post but the petitioner has not been given the salary of the said post though the petitioner continued working on the higher post till her retirement.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is entitled for the salary of the post of Superintendent Jail starting from 09.08.2011 till the date she retired i.e. 15.09.2015.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 24.01.2024 (Annexure P-7) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a



direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Charanpreet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 24.01.2024 (Annexure P-7) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

September 16, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No