



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

116

CWP-21602-2024
Date of decision: 02.09.2024

BALWINDER KAUR ...Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Mr. Vinod Pundir, Advocate and
Mr. Satbir Rathore, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Vibhor Bansal, Senior Panel Counsel,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Abhilaksh Gaiind, Advocate, Nodal Advocate, NHAI, and
Mr. Rakesh Roy, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Mr. Puru Jarewal, AAG, Punjab.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to pay the same compensation to the petitioner as has been paid to the similarly situated land owners of the same village situated within the same Municipal Limit of Tanda Town, under the same acquisition for the same purpose.
2. It is not disputed that the petitioner has not even approached the Arbitrator, against the award passed by the competent authority so far. Therefore, this Court does not find any ground to interfere in the matter, as such.
3. Dismissed.
4. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to avail any other alternate remedy, but in accordance with law.

02.09.2024
parveen kumar

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No