



CRWP-8471-2024

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(238)

CRWP-8471-2024

Date of Decision : 02.12.2024

Basant Singh @ Basant Chaudhary

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Harmandeep Singh Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Avneet Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition, as cast under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus, directing the official respondents No.1 to 4, to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners, as he is apprehending threat calls from some international number, from a notorious gangster, wherein, he is demanding an amount of rupees two crores, as protection money from the petitioner.

2. This Court, on dated 25.10.2024, had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition :-

“In deference to the directions issued by this Court, vide order dated 03.09.2024, short reply dated 25.10.2024, by way of affidavit of Mr. Harsimaran Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, City-2, SAS Nagar, on behalf of the respondent-State of Punjab, furnished by the learned State counsel today in the Court. The same is taken on the record. Copy thereof, supplied to learned counsel for the



petitioner.

Reply (supra), reflects that the petitioner had received a threat call on dated 03.08.2024. Despite that, the enquiry officer concerned, concluded that the petitioner has no threat perception.

Let a specific reply, in this regard, be filed by the Senior Superintendent of Police concerned, after examining the enquiry, so conducted by the enquiry officer, and after having sufficient information, as to whether, there is any threat perception to the petitioner, or not.

Adjourned to 02.12.2024.”

3. In deference to the directions issued by this Court, by drawing an order dated 25.10.2024, reply dated 02.12.2024, by way of an affidavit of Mr. Deepak Pareek, IPS, Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar, Mohali, on behalf of the respondents No.1 to 4, furnished by the learned State counsel, today in the Court. The same is taken on the record.

4. The study survey of the affidavit (supra), makes revelation that the petitioner informed the Enquiry Officer concerned, that he had received a threat call on dated 03.08.2024. Furthermore, no evidence has yet come on record, as to whether, the alleged calls received by the petitioner, were actually made by one Goldy Brar, or someone else, or they were fake calls made by some fraudsters. The petitioner was asked by the investigating officer concerned, to get his statement recorded, however, he refused to get his statement recorded, and a DDR No.09 dated 29.11.2024, has been registered, in this regard.

5. The affidavit (supra), further voiced regarding the efforts taken by the Law Enforcement Agency, to provide the security to the present petitioner, and area security has been provided to the present petitioner,

through regular PCR patrolling, and the application preferred by the petitioner,

CRWP-8471-2024

for issuance of new Arms Licence, has also been expedited, which has been recommended by the SHO Aerocity, and is pending for final approval.

6. In view of the above facts and circumstances, this Court does not deem it appropriate to pass any further mandamus in the instant petition, and the same is hereby **closed**.

7. **Disposed of** accordingly.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

December 02, 2024
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No