



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212

CRM-M-43088-2024
Date of Decision : **October 15, 2024**

RANJOT SINGH ALIAS JOT

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Digvijay Nagpal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. On 3.9.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.127 dated 15.05.2024, under Sections 307, 452, 506, 341, 148 and 149 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Tripri Patiala, Tehsil and District Patiala.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, in fact, it is a case of version and cross-version, inasmuch as, both the parties suffered injuries in the present scuffle. Moreover, a cross case bearing DDR No.64 dated 15.05.2024, under Sections 323, 324, 506, 341, 148 and 149 of the IPC, has also been registered at the behest of

petitioner party against the complainant party, at P.S. Tripri Patiala.

3. *The learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that, the only allegation against the petitioner is that, he caught hold the victim and then his co-accused Taranjit Singh gave Kirch blow to the victim, which attracted the penal provisions of Section 307 of the IPC.*

4. *Notice of motion for 15.10.2024.*

5. *Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab.*

6. *In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”*

2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 3.9.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

October 15, 2024
ajay-1

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No