



CWP-21555-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

120

CWP-21555-2024

Date of Decision :31.08.2024

Gurjeet Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ritesh Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance of the petitioner is that she was reverted back from the post of Senior Assistant to the post of Junior Assistant by impugned order dated 23.08.2024 (Annexure P/5), which action of the respondents is arbitrary and illegal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though, on reversion of a senior employee from the post of Naib Tehsildar to that of Senior Assistant, the petitioner becomes surplus in the cadre of 17 posts to be filled up but submits that impugned order dated 23.08.2024 (Annexure P/5) also recites that there are 06 posts of the direct quota, which are lying vacant and, therefore, rather than reverting the petitioner, the petitioner could have been adjusted against the said post till the direct quota posts are filled up so that in case, by the time, another post in the promotion quota becomes available, the petitioner could be adjusted therein

Notice of motion.

**CWP-21555-2024****-2-**

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Satnam Preet Singh Chauhan, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, any representation is received from the petitioners for adjustment against the direct quota post temporarily till the post becomes vacant or to allow her to continue in the direct quota post till the same remained vacant, appropriate speaking order will be passed and in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner as raised in the said representation, the same will be accepted otherwise, due reasons for not accepting the claim of the petitioner will be mentioned in the speaking order so passed, which will be conveyed to the petitioner for information and necessary action.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents, present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to file appropriate representation raising the grievance before the authorities concerned.

Ordered accordingly.

August 31, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No