



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-8441-2024

Date of decision: 04.11.2024

Mandeep Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Ms. Ramandeep Kaur, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Inderjeet Singh Ladher, DAG, Punjab.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioners seeking directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to safeguard the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

2. As per the averments made in the petition, both the petitioners are major and are already married and petitioner No.1 filed divorce petition against her spouse. At present, both the petitioners are into live in relationship against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6 who are extending threats to cause harm to the petitioners and petitioners have already submitted their representation Annexure P-5 dated 09.08.2024 to respondent no.2 but the police authorities failed to take any action to provide protection to the petitioners.

3. The State counsel on instructions from ASI Ranjit Singh submits that petitioners are not residing at the given address but they were contacted through mobile phone and they stated that they are happily



residing together without threat from any quarter. The copy of the concerned report of SHO, Police Station Sadar Fazilka dated 08.10.2024 is taken on record along with statements of Sarpanch and Panch of village Painchawali, District Fazilka.

4. Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that the petitioners are still facing threats from the side of private respondents and that necessary directions to be given to respondent No.2 to provide them protection.

5. In light of the above and without going into the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide representation dated 09.08.2024 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and if the situation so warrants to take necessary steps in accordance with law.

6. However, any observations made in this order will not be construed as an expression of opinion on the validity of the relationship, if any, between the petitioners. It is also made clear that respondents No.4 to 6 are at liberty to avail appropriate remedy available to them under law against the petitioners. In case the petitioners have committed any offence, law will take its own course.

04.11.2024

Yogesh

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-
Whether reportable:-

Yes/No
Yes/No