



117

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-22464-2024
Date of Decision: 06.09.2024

NeelamPetitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Mukul Khatri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari*, *mandamus* or any other appropriate writ, order or direction for directing the respondents to pay the family pension and other pensionary benefits to the petitioner.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the father of the petitioner was working as a Lineman in the respondent-Nigam and expired on 04.10.2006. Thereafter, her mother, being a legal heir of the deceased father, was drawing family pension. The grievance of the petitioner is that being a widow, she was living in her parental home with her mother and after the death of her mother on 22.10.2022 (Annexure P-2), she has no source of income for her livelihood and therefore, she has prayed for release of family pension being the legal heir of her deceased mother. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that at this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied in case her representation dated 27.03.2024 (Annexure P-3) is



directed to be decided by passing a speaking order in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

- 3. Notice of motion.
- 4. On the asking of Court, Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. AG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and stated that he has no objection, in case, a direction is issued to the respondents for considering and deciding the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure P-3 in accordance with law.
- 5. In view of the aforesaid position, without calling for the reply from the respondents and without observing anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation dated 27.03.2024 filed by the petitioner vide Annexure P-3 strictly in accordance with law by passing a well-reasoned speaking order within a period of 3 months from today.

06.09.2024

Bhumika

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)

JUDGE

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
- 2. Whether reportable: Yes/No