



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-43147-2024
Date of decision: 15.10.2024

RAJ SHARMA ALIAS NEERAJ SHARMAPETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Atinderpal Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Iqbalpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab..

Mr. Charitr Kadyan, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 (Section 482 Cr.P.C.), petitioner seeks quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 22.08.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR (Annexure P-1) are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
50	17.04.2024	420 of IPC	E Division, District Amritsar.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 22.08.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Amritsar, vide report dated 19.09.2024 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at



CRM-M-43147-2024

2

between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. The petitioner has also deposited cost of Rs.15,000/- in compliance of order dated 03.09.2024.

3. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the report of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Amritsar, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioner/accused person.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 50 dated 17.04.2024 under Sections 420 of IPC, registered at Police Station E Division, District Amritsar, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioner.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

15.10.2024
Kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |