



CRM-M-42976-2024

1

245 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-42976-2024

Date of Decision: 03.10.2024

SUNNY DESWAL AND OTHERS

...PETITIONERS

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Karanvir Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Yogesh Sethi, Advocate for
Mr. Karan Kaushal, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 B.N.S.S. seeking quashing of FIR No.369 dated 30.09.2016 under Sections 498-A and 406 of IPC registered at Police Station Shivaji Colony, Rohtak (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 14.03.2023 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 02.09.2024:

“This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.369 dated 30.09.2016 under Sections 498-A & 406 of IPC, registered at Police Station Shivaji Colony, Rohtak, District Rohtak (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 14.03.2023 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion for 03.10.2024.

At this stage, on asking of the Court, Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Karan Kaushal, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files Power of Attorney, which is taken on record. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.



In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise effected between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.
4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.369 dated 30.09.2016 under Sections 498-A and 406 of IPC registered at Police Station Shivaji Colony, Rohtak (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

03.10.2024
Ajay Goswami

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>