



CRM-M-44815-2023 and another connected matter

-1-

210 (2 cases)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision:- 28.10.2024

(1)

CRM-M-44815-2023

Manjeet Kaur

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and Ors.

...Respondents

(2)

CRM-M-47715-2023

Gagandeep Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and Anr.

...Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Gourave Bhayyia, Advocate and
Mr. Akash Manocha, Advocate
for petitioner in CRM-M-44815-2023.

Mr. Bipan Ghai, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Nikhil Ghai, Advocate and
Mr. Ankit Saini, Advocate
for petitioner in CRM-M-47715-2023.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate
for respondent No.2 in CRM-M-44815-2023.

Mr. Akshay Jindal, Advocate
for respondent No.2 in CRM-M-47715-2023.



CRM-M-44815-2023 and another connected matter

-2-

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. The petitioner(s) – Manjeet Kaur and Gagandeep Singh have filed separate petitions for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 00467 dated 11.08.2023 (Annexure P-2) under Sections 406/420 of IPC registered at Police Station Mahesh Nagar, Ambala Cantt., District Ambala. These petitions have arisen out of same FIR, therefore, both petitions are taken up together for disposal.

2. As per the facts of the case, Pritam Kaur, Devinder and Chandni Kaur filed written complaint alleging that accused Manjeet Kaur in connivance with Gurjot Singh and Gagandeep Singh claimed that Manjeet Kaur was owner in possession of plot No.10, 11 and Plot No.1 measuring 181.32 square yards which is a part of house No.1 situated in residential area of Gobind Nagar, Ambala Cantt and this property was bearing Municipal Corporation ID No.86C173U1PI bearing Khasra No.1//23/1 and was free from all encumbrances. It was neither mortgaged nor sold to anybody and the property was having clear title. The property was agreed to be purchased at the rate of Rs.3,000/- per square yard. Property was sold and payment was made through bank to the tune of Rs.13,96,000/- and the sale deed dated 25.01.2021 was executed. Later on they came to know that accused had taken loan on the said property from India Bulls Company and it is still pending. In this way the accused persons committed fraud with the complainant. With these allegations, present FIR has been registered.

3. Petitioner Manjeet Kaur was granted interim bail vide order

**CRM-M-44815-2023 and another connected matter**

-3-

dated 06.09.2023 passed in CRM-M-44815-2023. Matter was referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, which was ultimately compromised vide detailed compromise dated 07.11.2023. As per the terms and conditions of compromise, bank drafts annexed with CRM-M-33583-2023 in original were handed over to respondent and thereafter, sale deed was to be executed within a period of one month from today.

4. Today, learned counsel for respondent categorically stated that they have no objection to the anticipatory bail granted in favour of petitioner(s).

5. In the light of aforesaid factual position, aforesaid petitioner(s) have already joined investigation. Even the matter has been compromised before Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court. Payment has been made by petitioners by way of bank drafts. It is mutually agreed between the parties that sale deed will be executed within a period of one month from today.

6. Considering these facts, interim bail already granted to petitioner Manjeet Kaur vide order dated 06.09.2023 passed in CRM-M-44815-2023 stands confirmed subject to the conditions enshrined under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Whereas petition bearing No. CRM-M-47715-2023 filed by petitioner Gagandeep Singh also stands allowed. He be not arrested. In case of his arrest, he be released on bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer concerned, subject to condition that petitioner will join investigation as and when required. He will not tamper with or interfere with investigation and will not leave country without prior



CRM-M-44815-2023 and another connected matter

-4-

permission as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

- 7. Both the aforesaid petitions are accordingly disposed of.
- 8. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

28.10.2024

Sunil Devi

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No